Journal of Experimental Research

December 2019, Vol 7 No 4

Email: editorinchief.erjournal@gmail.com editorialsecretary.erjournal@gmail.com

Received: 22nd Oct., 2019 Accepted for Publication: 29th Feb, 2020

Co-liquefaction of Hypersaline 'Tetraselmis' sp. Microalga and Cow Manure for Biocrude Production and as Means for Solid Waste Treatment

B.E. Eboibi

Department of Chemical and Petroleum Engineering, Delta State University, Oleh Campus, Delta State, Nigeria.

*Author for Correspondence: <u>blessingeboibi@gmail.com</u>

ABSTRACT

This paper investigated hydrothermal coliquefaction of the microalga *Tetraselmis* sp. and cow manure at different mix ratios and the characterisation of produced biocrude. The carbon and nitrogen balances across the reactor and energy recovery were also elucidated. The study was conducted using a 1L batch reactor at 300°C and 350°C at constant reaction time of 10min using \sim 16w/v% solids loading. The results showed that irrespective of reaction temperature, there were substantial influence on yield and properties of biocrude. Importantly, there were up to 60% reductions in nitrogen content of biocrude, which could be due to synergistic effect from interactions of feedstock molecules during liquefaction. These findings suggest blending of Tetraselmis sp. and cow manure could improve biocrude quality, while simultaneously treating waste.

Key words: Hypersaline, Microalgae, hydrothermal, co-liquefaction. Cow manure

INTRODUCTION

microalgae biomass to biocrude is a promising process for biofuel production (Huang et al. 2019). Importantly, HTL avoids use of energy- sustainability of HTL-algae-biofuel (Giaconi et intensive in drying of feedstock, as required in al. 2017; Lam et al. 2019).Importantly, coother thermochemical methods such as pyrolysis (Eboibi et al., 2014). However, due to current challenge in production cost of microalga, its treatment in a single-stream could negatively impact future commercialization of the process (Brilman et al. 2017; Giaconi et al. 2017). In addition to improving yield and quality of relating to handling and disposal of manure biocrude, presence of heteroatoms such as nitrogenous and oxygenated compounds in biocrude have remained an issue. Thereby inducing replacing conventional fossil crude in nearest future. Therefore, more scientific manure was produced from 77.6m animal units research on suitable feedstock selection as cofeeds alongside algae liquefaction and means of Although, manure is applicable on fields as reducing heteroatom's is necessary.

yield and quality of biocrude, low logistics costs for feedstock collection and transportation, it is believed that co-liquefaction of different organic

biomass in a single-stream is advantageous compared to liquefaction of individual feedstock Hydrothermal liquefaction (HTL) of (Yang et al. 2019). Co-liquefaction of algae and solid organic waste, in this instance animal manure has been suggested to enhance economic liquefaction has the potential to enhance vield and properties of biocrude through adjusting the biochemical composition of feedstock mixtures (Yang et al. 2017). This would not only improve the yield and quality of biocrude (Xu et al. 2019; Yuan et al. 2019) but also addresses issues (Eboibi et al. 2015). As manure management has been a concern to agro industries (Usapein and Chavalparit, 2017).

Approximately 921m metric tons of wet of cattle in the United States (USDA, 2007). traditional/or suitable methods for manure Due to potential synergistic impact on management, increases in urbanization and strict environmental policies seems to make this option limited (Saba et al. 2018). As this option may lead to increase in greenhouse gas and particulate

emissions (Baldé et al. 2016). Manure contains essential nutrient (such as phosphorus and nitrogen), its improper management could lead to run offs, affecting water quality (such as eutrophication), public health and surrounding the present study. TM was grown and cultivated ecosystems (Cantrell et al. 2017; Sharpley, 1981). Therefore, co-liquefaction of microalga and animal manure has broad application potentials; provide resource recovery, reduction in carbon footprint, and in waste treatment to mitigate environmental pollution (Huang et al. 2019; Wu et al. 2017).

A review of the scientific literature showed limited study on co-liquefaction of microalgae 1L batch high-pressure reactor made of Inconel and cow manure, though there has been a reported study on individual liquefaction of cow manure and algae. Few studies have evaluated the co-liquefaction of microalgae with other organic biomass as co-feedstock. These include co-liquefaction of microalgae and; microalgae (Jin et al. 2013); agricultural waste (Chen et al. 2019; Wang et al. 2019); sewage sludge (Xu et al. 2019); polypropylene (Wu et al. 2017) and the use of mixed algae strains (Dandamudi et al. 2019: Hietala et al. 2019) and model compounds (Feng et al. 2019; Zhang et al. 2016). The results of these studies are contradictory, generally; the effects are either synergistic when combined feeds yields are higher than individual feed (Gai et al. 2015; Xu et al. 2019) or antagonistic (Brilman et al. 2017; Chen et al. 2019) when reverse is the case. Also, the elemental carbon and nitrogen content distributions are inconsistent (Yang et al. 2019). This development therefore suggests more scientific research investigation.

In addition, previous studies used pulverised algae and combined feeds for their experimental studies. Such practices are may be acceptable at laboratory scale unlike in commercial scale. The properties and structure of the feeds may be altered prior to liquefaction, which may affect output and quality. The use of freshly harvested microalgae seems to present real-life scenario unlike pulverised algae. Therefore the main aim of present study is to fill the knowledge gap.

MATERIALS AND METHOD **Materials:**

Freshly harvested hypersaline Tetraselmis sp. alga (TA), and cow manure (M) was used in in outdoor open raceway ponds. Its cultivation and harvesting has been reported elsewhere (Isdepsky1and Borowitzka1, 2019; Fon-Sing et al. 2014). Cow manure (CM) was obtained from a local farm at Thandallam, Chennai, India.

Analytical Method:

HTL experiment were conducted using a at reaction temperature of 300°C and 350°C at constant reaction time of 15min, using biomass feedstock containing ~20% w/v solids. Typically, for individual run, 500g of either TA or CM was loaded in the reactor. For co-liquefaction studies, TA and CM were mixed in ratio 04:01, 03:02, 01:01, 02:03 and 01:04. The production and separation procedures were carried out in accordance with previous reports (Eboibi et al. 2014; Wang et al. 2019). For repeatability, each experimental run was carried out in triplicate, and the average result reported.

The elemental and biochemical composition of TA and CM, including that of previous studies are shown in Table 1. A Variol III Elemental Analyser System GmbH was used to determine the weight percentages of carbon (C), hydrogen (H), nitrogen (N) and sulfur (S) following ASTM D-5291 method. The oxygen content was estimated by subtraction from the combined mass of CHN and S.

After product separation, the primary product biocrude yield was determined in wt% on an ash free dry wt. basis. The solid residue and dissolved aqueous solids were estimated in weight percent by relating their mass yield to that of feed loaded in the reactor (Theegala and Midgett, 2012). The gas phase yield was estimated by difference by subtracting the combined mass yield of biocrude, solid residue and dissolved aqueous solids from unity.

Based on the data from the elemental analysis, the higher heating value (HHV) was estimated using Eq. (1), proposed by Chinnawala and Parikh, (2002), while the molar atomic ratios of H/C, O/C N/C were estimated in accordance to previous reports (Alba et al. 2012; Eboibi et al. 2019).

$$HHV(MJ/kg) = 0.3491C + 1.1783H + 0.1005S - 0.1034O - 0.0151N - 0.0211A$$
(1)

where C represents carbon, H hydrogen, S sulfur, O oxygen, N nitrogen and A ash, on dry basis.

The amount of energy recovered (ER. %) was estimated using Eq. (2).

$$ER = - x 100$$

It should be noted that the external work applied for heating the reactor was not considered in Eq. (2), but by relating the HHVs and mass of biocrude to that of initial biomass load fed to the reactor (Biller and Ross, 2011). The C and N recovery (%) was calculated by using the elemental mass balances across the reactor (Eboibi et al. 2014).

Feedstock	Biochemical composition	mposition						Eleme	ntal co	Elemental composition	on		Reference
	Carbohydrate		Lipids	Proteins Lipids Cellulose	Hemicellulose lignin	lignin	C	Н	N	0	H/C	VHH	7
Tetraselmis sp. ^a	22	58	14			,	42	6.8	3	40.2	.2 1.94	4 18.3	Present study
Cow manure ^b	58	11	15			ı	35.6	6.5	2.1 0	0.6 55	55.2 2.19	9 14.3	
Spirulina plantensis	23.9	70.3	5.8				46.9	6.9	10.7 NR		35.5 1.77	7 18.7	Feng (2019)
α-Cellulose			ı	9.66			44.4	6.1	Z 0	NR 40	40.3 1.67	7 16.0	
Nannochloropsis sp.	12.4	36.4	19.0			ı	49.2	7.2	6.2 N	NR 36	36.3 NR	20.5	Zhang <i>et al.</i> , (2016)
Spirulina plantensis	10.8	48.5	5.8			ı	45.6 6.6		10. N	NR 36.7	.7 NR	18.4	
Cyanidioschyson	37.8	47.8	4.3	ı	ı	ı	48.1	5.1	9.9 1	1.2 35	35.5 NR	18.1	
merolae Galdiera sulphuraria 42	42.2	45.1	3.2	ı	ı	ı	42.4	3.9	9.4 1	1.3 42.9	.9 NR	16.4	(7107)
Spirulina sp.	29.3	26.8	11.0			ı	34.5	5.1	3.4 N	NR 24.2	.2 NR	NR	Jin et al., (2013)
Entermorpha	18.2	23.8	5.0	ı	ı	ı	28.0	4.5	3.8 N	NR 10.7	.7 NR	NR	
prolifera Chlorella	20.6	57.6	10.6		ı	ı	47.2	8.3	8.9 0	0.3 NR	R NR	22.6	Chen et al., (2019)
<i>pyrenoidosa</i> Sweet potato residue	20.7	1.7	NR	25.9	12.7	34.5	47.2 7.2		0.2 N	NR 40.5	.5 NR	20.4	

An Official Publication of Enugu State University of Science & Technology ISSN: (Print) 2315-9650 ISSN: (Online) 2502-0524 This work is licenced to the publisher under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

Results and Discussion

Mass yields

The yields obtained from HTL of individual and combined feed is presented in Figure 1. The treatment at 300°C (Fig. 1a), with 10min reaction time using 20% solid loading led to 32 to 42, 15 to 24, 12 to 15 and 21-34wt% biocrude, solid residue, dissolved aqueous solids and gas phase yields, respectively. An increase in reaction temperature from 300°C to 350°C (Fig. 1b), led to substantial increase in biocrude yield when compared to that obtained at 300°C. For individual treatment, biocrude from TA increased from 42wt% to 58wt%, and from 32wt% to 42wt% for CM. In contrast, the solid residue reduced from 24wt% to 16wt% and 14wt% to 10wt% for TA and CM, respectively. This variation in yields with respect to reaction temperature could be attributed to promotion of decomposition of reactants including polymerisation of intermediates (Wu et al., 2017). Similar trend was found for the combined feeds; as there were general reduction in solid residue from 24wt% to 13wt%, and 15wt% to 11wt% for dissolved aqueous solids. However the gas phase yields increased numerically at all conditions. The relative increase in biocrude yields from co-liquefaction could be due algae

containing alkali salts. Alkali salt is known to enhance biomass macromolecules degradation into biocrude. Hence, blending of TA with biomass materials with or without alkali metals would improve biocrude yields.

The low biocrude yield and relatively high solid residue yields obtained at 300°C compared to at 350°C could be due to insufficient conversion of the feedstock. It is possible some bonds were unbroken due to perhaps inadequate reaction temperature and or reaction time. In addition, the biochemical composition (protein, carbohydrate and lipids) of the feedstocks could have influenced the yields. Microalgae containing higher lipids potentially produce higher biocrude and lower solid residue yields unlike algae containing higher carbohydrates. Consequently, coliquefaction of algae with high lipids and with other biomass of higher carbohydrate would lead to lower biocrude yield (when compared to HTL of individual algae) and higher yield (when compared with HTL of the other biomass with higher carbohydrate component).Carbohydrate has been reported to contribute little to the overall bio-crude yield, and at the sometime neutralizes the negative effect of protein and enhance the performance fo HTL by the Maillard reaction at an optimal ratio (Zhang et al., 2016)



An Official Publication of Enugu State University of Science & Technology ISSN: (Print) 2315-9650 ISSN: (Online) 2502-0524 This work is licenced to the publisher under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.



Fig. 1: Mass yields from co-liquefaction of *Tetraselmis* sp. alga (TA) and cow manure (CM) at 10min constant reaction time. A: 300°C, B: 350°C.

biocrude yield, the composition of feedstocks liquefaction of Spirulina sp. and Entermorpha needs to be varied, in order to achieve a suitable *prolifera* at 340°C, 40min at ratio 1:1. In contrast feedstock mix ratio. This study has shown that to these SE, some investigations (Brilman et al. blending different feedstock have substantial 2017 and Zhang et al. 2016) have shown negative positive effects on distribution and potentially effect on biocrude yields following coenhances the biocrude yield. This is liquefaction (Table 2). The reported AE were advantageous of using all kinds of available majorly due to the biochemical composition of biomass wastes (Yuan et al. 2019) for biofuel the feedstock. For example Chen et al. (2019) and production. Although, there are limited data on Dandamudi et al. (2017) used had feedstock with the synergistic effect (SE) on co-liquefaction of high carbohydrate and/or low lipids for their algae and cow manure, a SE of -4.2 to ~7 was studies (Table 1), hence the low biocrude yield found and which is within the range of previous (shown in Table 2). Nevertheless, most reported related studies (shown in Table 2). Yang et al. studies have shown improved quality of the (2019) reported that co-liquefaction of biocrude obtained from co-liquefaction when microalgae with other biomass types would most compared to individual feedstock, which will be likely lead to SE of about 2.2 to 8.7wt% on discuss in next session. Importantly, more biocrude vield.

investigated co-liquefaction of a low-lipid observed co-liquefaction effects. microalgae Spirulina sp. and α-Cellulose at reaction temperature of 300°C at 30min reaction time. At an optimal 2:1 mix ratio, SE of ~16wt% on biocrude yield was obtained. Operating at a reaction temperature of 340°C, 30min reaction time and using 10w/v% solids content, Xu et al. (2019) reported SE of 4.7wt% at 1:1 mix ratio. Similarly, Jin et al. (2013) reported SE of

This therefore suggests that for optimal 3.2wt% on biocrude yield from the coscientific research investigation is required to As shown in Table 2, Feng et al. (2019) understand the underlying mechanism for the

Elemental composition of biocrude:

The elemental carbon, hydrogen, nitrogen, sulfur and oxygen content for biocrudes obtained at different mix ratio and reaction temperature is shown in Fig. 2. As illustrated in Fig. 2, the reaction temperature and co-liquefaction of *Tetraselmis* algae (TA) with cow manure (CM) had substantial impact on the elemental content

3	
Ľ,	
õ	
St	
Ŋ	
e.	
4	
SS	
3	
E	
.9	
5	
Je	
t)	
0	
o pu	
Ξ	
-	
ae	
60	
a	
4	
0	
I	
.9	
5	
ă	
G	
ň	
5	
÷,	
Ó	
0	
g	
tion on co-lique	
E	
.	
at	
0.0	
Ë	
3	
invest	
5	
ar	
Ğ	
S	
Ľ.	
\mathbf{S}	
N	
reviou	
G	
e	
ome	
$\mathbf{S0}$	
y of	
lar	
ma	
ū	
um	
S	
ä	
le	
ablo	
Tab	

Feedstock	Optimum	Ιλ	Optimal	Mixed 1	Mixed feedstock	×	Biocrude properties	e proper	ties						Reference
	reaction	biocrude	mixed	biocrud	biocrude yield, wt.%	wt.%	Carbon		Nitrogen	u	ER,%		HHV(MJ/kg)	lJ/kg)	
	condition	yield,	ratio												
	T (°C), T(min), SL(wt.%)	wt.%		Expt.	Cal	SE	Ι	Col	Υ	CoL	IΥ	CoL	IY	CoL	
Spirulina plantensis	300, 30	35.6	2:1	40.3	24	+16	NR	NR	NR	NR	50	82	33	30	Feng et al., (2019)
α-Cellulose		14.0					NR		NR		28		28		
Nannochloropsis sp.	280, 60	37.9	1:1	28.96	33.4	4.4	72.7	73.2	4.6	6.7	66.4	54.4	35.9	36.5	Zhang <i>et al.</i> , (2016)
Spirulina plantensis		29.2					70.9		7.2		54.2		34.1		
Cyanidioschyson merolae	300°C, 30, 20	18.9	80:20	25.5	NR	NR	78.0	74.4	3.7	7.6	NR	NR	33.8	35.2	Dandamudi et al., (2017
Galdiera sulphuraria		14.0					76.6		6.2		NR		36.4		
Mixed-culture algal strain	300, 60, 25	27	1:3 25:75	35.7	NR	NR	59.4	35.7	2.5	2.5	52	37	25.8	17.8	Chen et al., (2014)
Swine manure		40					76.6		3.5		83		38.8		
Spirulina sp.	340, 40,	24.7	1:1	21.6	24.8	+3.2	74.2	74.3	4.1	5.3 ^	56	54	35.5	35.3	Jin et al., (2013)
Entermorpha pro.		14.6					74.1		4.5		35.2		34.9		
Chlorella pyre.	300, 60	40.5	4:1	40.4	38.1	-2.3	73.5	75.2	7.6	7.1	NR	67	35.3	35.4	Chen et al., (2019)
Sweet potato waste		37					70.8		0.3		NR		29.6		
Chlorella sp.	340, 30, ~10	~22	1:1	26.8	22.1	+4.7	72.0	70.8	6.5	6.5	41.6	57.8	34.1	33.4	Xu et al., (2019)
Sewage sludge		~24					71.8		6.0		52.7		34.2		
Dunaliella	340, 40, 10*	28	2:8	27.42	30.7	+3.3	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	Wu et al., (2017)
<i>ternotecta</i> Polypropylene		1.82					NR		NR		NR		NR		
Desmodesmus sp.	350, 10, 10	53	1:1	29	36	L-	NR	NR	9	4.2	71	~43	33	32	Brilman et al., (2017)
Pine wood		24					NR		1		40		30		

An Official Publication of Enugu State University of Science & Technology ISSN: (Print) 2315-9650 ISSN: (Online) 2502-0524 This work is licenced to the publisher under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. of the biocrudes. Generally, operating at 350°C blend CM and TA, the lower the nitrogen content (high temperature (HT)) led to an increase of biocrude. This led to about 50% reduction in fractionation of elemental carbon and hydrogen N-content in resultant biocrude. Suggesting in resultant biocrudes when compared to that at combining biomass feedstock of lower nitrogen 300°C (low reaction temperature (LT)).Co- with algae would reduce the recovery of liquefaction of TA and CM was found to have nitrogen, thus reduction in NO, emissions upon substantial effects on the elemental distribution combustion. Wang et al. (2019) reported similar in biocrudes, irrespective of reaction trend, as more dosage of sweet potato residue temperature.

feedstock mix led to numerical increase in content from 6.77w/w% to 3.20w/w%. They carbon and hydrogen content in biocrude when conducted their co-liquefaction studies at 300°C compared to individual CM. Leading to and 60min reaction temperature and reaction enhanced energy density of biocrude, thus time, respectively. improving biocrude quality. For example, irrespective of reaction temperature, biocrude the co-liquefaction of Chlorella pyrenoidosa and obtained from mix ratio 04:01 (TA:CM) led to 72w/w% of carbon compared to 68w/w% from substantial reduction in N-content of biocrude 01:04 (TA:CM) mix ratio. However, for from 7.6w/w% to 4.4%. Algae biomass are individual liquefaction of TA, co-liquefaction led to relatively low carbon and hydrogen content is one of the important challenges of HTL-algae and high oxygen content in resultant biocrude. Suggesting that co-liquefaction promotes Therefore, this approach could help to address deoxygenation and decarboxylation reactions. this issue. Furthermore, based on the data Chen et al. (2019) reported substantial reductions in oxygen and improved carbon recovery in TA:CM (01:01) was found more suitable for produced biocrude.

to substantially reduced N- content in biocrude when compared to individual liquefaction of TA. As shown in Fig. 2, an increase in CM mass in the

(SPR) biomass in blend of Chlorella Furthermore, higher mass of TA in the pyrenoidosaand SPR led to reduction of N-

Similarly, Chen et al. (2019) investigated potato biomass at 300°C and 60min and reported generally known to contain high nitrogen, which biofuel (Eboibi et al., 2015, Tang et al. 2020). presented in Figure 2, the optimal mix ratio of more recovery of element in biocrude, except More CM in the feedstock mix was found oxygen which was found for 04:01. However, since one of the objectives was also to improve biocrude yield, via co-liquefaction, a TA CM ratio of 03:02 was found more suitable for higher biocrude yield at 350°C.



An Official Publication of Enugu State University of Science & Technology ISSN: (Print) 2315-9650 ISSN: (Online) 2502-0524 This work is licenced to the publisher under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.





Fig. 2: Elemental composition of biocrude obtained at different feedstock mixing ratio

A: Carbon content B: Hydrogen content. C: Nitrogen content. D: Sulfur content. E: Oxygen content. LT: low reaction temperature (300°C). HT: high reaction temperature (350°C).

Effects of co-liquefaction on molar atomic ratios

The H/C, O/C and N/C molar atomic ratios of biocrudes obtained from individual TA and CM and at different mixing ratios are presented in Fig. 4 (the Van Krevelen diagram). As illustrated in Fig. 3A, the biocrude derived from Tetraselmis sp. (TA) had a better H/C and O/C ratio when compared to those obtained from cow manure and the mix feeds, however, substantially lower than that of petrocrude, with H/C close to 2 and O/C close to unity. Also, the biocrudes obtained from different mix ratios in have improved O/C atomic ratios and in most cases relatively enhanced H/C ratios. The improved O/C and H/C atomic ratios could be majorly due to dehydration and deoxygenation reactions. This finding suggests that coliquefaction of microalgae and other organic biomass such as cow manure could improve the quality of biocrude.

The H/C with N/C atomic ratio of biocrudes (Fig. 3B) further shows the advantages of co-liquefaction. Due to CM having lower nitrogen content (Table 1), biocrude obtained from CM has a better H/C and N/C ratio when compared to that of other biocrudes from TA and mix feeds. Interestingly, biocrude H/C and N/C atomic ratios of mixed feedstocks were found to be lower when compared with that of individual TA. Apparently, this finding has shown the importance of blending feedstock of lower Ncontent with algae, as it potentially reduces the nitrogenous compounds in produced biocrude. Such practices are seen to improve biocrude quality. It could be concluded that addition of feedstock with no/or low nitrogen content, in this case CM with microalgae enhances Mannich reaction, hence the reduction in N-content of produced biocrudes.



Fig.3: Van Krevelen diagram showing atomic ratios after co-liquefaction at 350°C.

A: Hydrogen-to-carbon (H/C) against oxygento-carbon ratio (O/C). B: Hydrogen-to-carbon

Carbon and nitrogen recovery:

One of the factors to determine quality of biocrude is the amount of carbon and nitrogen recovered in the biocrude. As more amount of carbon recovery (CR) and lower nitrogen recovery (NR) in the biocrude, the better the quality. Higher amount of CR the denser is the energy and performance. Also, lower amount of N-content in biocrude the better the quality. However, biocrude denitri? cation has remains an important challenge in its quality (Chen *etal.* 2019). Consequently, scientific research effort towards nitrogen reduction in biocrude would of

ratio against nitrogen-to-carbon (N/C) ratio.

interest. The amount of CR and NR in biocrudes obtained from mixed and individual feedstock is illustrated in Fig. 4.

Based on the data presented in Fig 4A, more carbon were recovered in biocrude at higher reaction temperature (HT (350°C)) when compared to that at lower reaction temperature (LT (300°C)).CR were found to correspond with the yield in biocrude, as an increase biocrude, the more CR in biocrude. Similarly, NR was found to follow similar trend for CR with respect to reaction temperatures. This finding seems to be in agreement with previous studies on decomposition of nitrogen with respect to reaction temperature. Decomposition of nitrogen study, NR reduces thus improving the quality of (Eboibi et al., 2014)

(350°C). TA: Tertraselmis sp. algae. CM: Cow manure

As shown in Fig.4, biocrude obtained from option for waste management. mixed feedstock had relative lower amount of CR and NR in biocrude, especially when Energy density: Energy recovery and HHV compared to TA biocrude. However, when compared with CM biocrude, CR and NR from biocrude quality and HTL reaction efficiency is mixed feedstock were higher. Suggesting quality the amount of energy recovery (ER) and HHV and yield of biocrude derived co-liquefaction is (Biller and Ross, 2011, Wang et al., 2019). The

in biocrude following HTL has been shown to biocrude as the proportion of CM increased in the increase with an increase in reaction temperature mixed feedstock. However, CR in biocrude relatively decreases, reducing the energy density CR: carbon recovery. NR: nitrogen recovery. LT: of the biocrude. Nevertheless, using TA and CM low temperature (300°C). HT: high temperature as mixed feedstock for HTL-biocrude seems more advantageous, in terms of lower NR, potentially reduction in pollution and a viable

Another important factor in terms of dependent on combined feedstock. In this present ER and HHV of biocrudes are presented in Fig. 5.



Fig. 4: Carbon and nitrogen recovery from co-liquefaction of TA, CM and at different ratios

As illustrated in Fig. 5 both reaction temperature temperature (HT) to at 350°C. This increase was and co-liquefaction had substantial effects on the found to correspond with biocrude yields and energy recovery and HHVs of the biocrudes. For consistent with previous reports as shown in individual feedstock, about 60% and 45% ER Table 2. For example, Prestigiacomo et al. (2019) was achieved for TA and CM at 300°C reaction reported ER of 44.5% to 57.8% for Chorella temperature (LT). ER increase to 76% for TA, vulgaris, and 44.7% to 57.2% for sewage sludge and to 50% for CM, with an increased in reaction when operating at 325°C and 30min reaction time.

at all mass ratio (except for 02:03 and 01:04), and (2013) reported mean value of 45.7% ER, value of individual liquefaction of TA and CM.1 feedstocks.

Had it been there was no synergistic effect, the Importantly, co-liquefaction of CM with ER obtained from co-liquefaction of TA and CM TA generally led to improved ER. It was found at mass ratio 04:01 (72%), 03:02 (68%) and that more mass of CM in the mixed feedstock led 01:01(65%) could have been equal to the mean to decrease in ER, the maximum ER was value (62%) of ER from individual liquefaction obtained at 04:01 ratio, the minimum at 01:04. In of TA and TM. At optimal mix ratio of 1:1 for addition, ER from co-liquefaction of TA and CM Spirulina sp and Entermorpha pro. Jin et al. at HT and LT, were found higher than ER mean however, 54% ER was achieved for mixed



Figure 5: Energy recovery and higher heating value

Moreover, biocrudes HHV were found to be between 29MJ/kg to 35MJ/kg. The HHVs This study investigated hydrothermal cosuggest that higher carbon led to improve energy liquefaction of Tetraselmis sp. and cow manure density, while higher oxygen content led to for bio-crude production at different feedstock decrease in resultant biocrudes. Co-liquefaction of TA and CM seems improve the HHV of biocrudes (31-34MJ/kg) when compared to HHV of biocrude obtained from individual CM (29MJ/kg). The biocrudes HHV were found to be similar to the average HHV of 33.9MJ/kg reported by (Xu et al. 2019) and within range of 32-34.7MJ/kg reported for individual HTL of Spirulina sp. swine manure and digested sludge (Leng et al. 2018). This findings show that synergistic effect occurs during co-liquefaction, Alba GL, Torri C, Samori C, van der Spek J, Fabbri D, improving the quality of biocrude.

CONCLUSION

mix ratio. The study showed that co-liquefaction substantially has impact on yield and quality, importantly reducing the nitrogen content of resultant biocrude. Using cow manure as cofeedstock for HTL could be a viable option towards reducing environment pollution while simultaneously producing biofuel.

REFERENCES

Kersten SRA, Brilman DWF. (2012).

- of the process as conversion method in an algae biorefinery concept. Energy, 26: 642-657.
- Baldé H, Vander Zaag AC, Burtt S, Evans L, Wagner-Riddle C, Desjardins RL, MacDonald, JD. (2016). Measured versus modelled methane emissions from separated liquid dairy manure show large model underestimates. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 230: 261- 270.
- Biller P, Ross AB. (2011). Potential yields and properties of oil from the hydrothermal liquefaction of microalgae with different biochemical content. Bioresource Technol. 102: 215-225.
- Brilman DWF, Drabik N, Wądrzyk M. (2017). Fon-Sing S, Isdepsky A, Borowitzka MA, Lewis DM. Hydrothermal co-liquefaction of microalgae, wood, and sugar beet pulp. Biomass Convers. Biorefinery. 445–54.
- Cantrell K, Ro K, Mahajan D, Anjom M, Hunt PG. (2017). Role of thermochemical conversion in livestock waste-to-energy treatments: Obstacles and opportunities. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 46: 8918-8927.
- Channiwala SA, Parikh PP. (2012). A unified correlation for estimating HHV of solid, liquid, and gaseous fuels. Fuel, 81: 1051-1063.
- Chen W-T, Zhang Y, Zhang J, Schideman L, Yu G, Zhang P, Minarick M. (2014). Co-liquefaction of swine manure and mixed-culture algal biomass from a wastewater treatment system to produce biocrude oil. Applied Energy, 128: 209-216.
- Chen X, Peng X, Ma X, Wang J. (2019). Investigation of Mannich reaction during co-liquefaction of microalgae and sweet potato waste. Bioresource Technol. 284: 286-292.
- Dandamudi KPR, Muppaneni T, Sudasinghe ST, Holguin Huang HJ, Chang YC, Lai FY, Zhou CF, Pan ZQ, Xiao XF, FO, Lammers PJ, Deng S. (2019). Coliquefaction of mixed culture microalgal strains under sub-critical water conditions. Bioresource Technol. 236: 129-137.
- Eboibi BE, Lewis DM, Ashman PJ, Senthil C. (2014). Effect of operating conditions on yield and quality of biocrude during hydrothermal liquefaction of halophytic Tetraselmis sp. Microalga. Bioresource Technol. 174: 20-29.
- Eboibi BE, Lewis DM, Ashman PJ, Senthil C. (2015). Influence of process conditions on pretreatment Jin B, Duan P, Xu Y, Wang F, Fan Y. (2013). Coof microalgae for protein etraction and production of bicorude during hydrothermal liquefaction of pretreated Tetraselmis sp. RSC

- Hydrothermal treatment (HTT) of microalgae: Evaluation liquefaction of pretreated Tetraselmis sp. RSC Adv. 5: 20193-20207.
 - Eboibi B, Jena U, Senthil C. (2019). Laboratory conversion of cultivated Oleaginous organisms into biocrude for biofuel applications; In: Venkatesh Balan (ed.): Microbial lipid production: methods and protocols, methods in molecular biology. vol. 1995, Humana New York. Springer Nature, 2019.
 - Feng H, He Z, Zhang B, Chen H, Wang, Kandasamy S. (2019). Synergetic bio-oil production from hydrothermal co-liquefaction of Spirulina platensis and α -Cellulose. Energy. 174: 1283-1291.
 - (2014). Pilot-scale continuous recycling of growth medium for the mass culture of a halotolerant Tetraselmis sp. In raceway ponds under increasing salinity: A novel protocol; for commercial microalgal biomass production. Bioresource Technol., 161: 47-54.
 - Gai C, Li Y, Peng N, Fan A, Liu Z. (2015). Co-liquefaction of microalgae and lignocellulosic biomass in subcritical water. Bioresource Technol., 185: 240-245.
 - Giaconia A, Caputo G, Ienna A, Mazzei D, Schiavo B, Scialdone O, Galia A. (2017). Biorefinery process for hydrothermal liquefaction of microalgae powered by concentrating solar plant: A conceptual study. Applied Energy, 208: pp: 1139-1149.
 - Hietala DC, Godwin CM, Cardinale BJ, Savage PE. (2019). The independent and coupled effects of feedstock characteristics and reaction conditions on biocrude production by hydrothermal liquefaction. Applied Energy, 235: 714-728.
 - Wang JX, Zhou CH. (2019). Co-liquefaction of sewage sludge and rice straw/wood sawdust: The effect of process parameters on the yields/properties of bio-oil and biochar product. Energy, 173: 140-150.
 - Isdepsky A, Borowitzka MA. (2019) In-pond strain selection of euryhaline Tetraselmis sp. For reliable long-term outdoor culture as potential sources of biofuel and other products" J Applied Phycology, https://doi.org/10/1007/s1081-019-01873-y
 - liquefaction of micro-and macroalgae subcritical water. Bioresource Technol. 149: 103-110.

An Official Publication of Enugu State University of Science & Technology ISSN: (Print) 2315-9650 ISSN: (Online) 2502-0524 This work is licenced to the publisher under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

- Lam MK, Khoo CG, Lee KT, (2019), Scale-up and USDA, (2007), Census of agriculture: USDA, National commercialization of algal cultivation and biofuels production. Book Chapter, Biomass, Biofuels and Biochemicals. http://doi.org/10/1016/B978-0-444-64192- Wu X, Liang J, Wu Y, Hu H, Huang S, Wu K. (2017). Co-2.00019-6.
- Leng L, Li J, Yuan X, Li JJ, Han P, Hong YC, Wei F, Zhou WG. (2018). Beneficial synergistic effect on biooil production from co-liquefaction of sewage Wang J, Peng X, Chen X, Ma X. (2019). Co-liquefaction of sludge and lignocellulosic biomass. Bioresource. Technol. 251: 49–56.
- Prestigiacomo C, Costa P, Pinto F, Schiavo B, Siragusa A, Scialdone O, Galia A (2019) Sewage sludge as cheap alternative to microalgae as feedstock of Xu D, Wang Y, Lin G, Guo S, Wang S, Wu Z. (2019). Cocatalytic hydrothermal liquefaction process. The Journal of Supercritical Fluids, 143: 251-258.
- Saba A, Lopez B, Lyam JG, Reza MT. (2018). Hydrothermal liquefaction of loblolly pine: ACS Omega, 3051-3059.
- Sharpley AN (1981). The Contribution of Phosphorus Leached from Crop Canopy to Losses in Surface Runoff. J. Environ. Qual. 10:160-165.
- Tang X, Zhang C, Yang X. (2019). Optimizing process of hydrothermal liquefaction of microalgae via flash biocrude. J Cleaner Production, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clepro.2020.120660.
- Theegala CS, Midgett JS. (2012). Hydrothermal liquefaction of separated diary manure for production of bio-oil with simultaneous waste treatment. Bioresource Technol. 107: 456-463.
- Usapein P, Chavalparit O. (2017). Life cycle assessment of bio-sludge for disposal with different alternative waste management scenarios: a case study of an olefin factory in Thailand. J. Mater. Cycles Waste Manage. 19: 545- 559.

- Agricultural Statistics Service, 2009; Vol. 1 (AC-07-A-51).
- liquefaction of microalgae and polypropylene in sub-/super-critical water. RSC Adv. 7: 13768-13776.
- low-lipid microalgae and starch-rich biomass waste: the interaction effect on product distribution and composition. J Analytical and Applied Pyrolysis. 139:250-257.
- hydrothermal liquefaction of microalgae and sewage sludge in subcritical water: Ash effects on bio-oil production. Renewable Energy. 138:1143-1151.
- Effects of various wastes on produced biocrude. Yang L (Sophia), He Q, Havard P, Corscadden K (Charles), Xu C, Wang X. (2017). Coliquefaction of spent coffee grounds and lignocellulosic feedstocks. Bioresour Technol. 237: 108-21.
 - Yang J, He Q, Yang L. (2019). A review on hydrothermal co-liquefaction of biomass. Applied Energy, 250: 926-945.
- heating and isolating aqueous extract from Yuan C, Wang S, Cao B, Hu Y, Abomohra AE, Wang Q, Qian L, Liu L, Liu X, He Z, Sun C, Feng Y, Zhang B. (2019). Optimization of hydrothermal coliquefaction of seaweeds with lignocellulosic biomass: Merging 2^{nd} and 3^{rd} generation feedstocks for enhanced bio-oil production. Energy. 173:413-422.
 - Zhang C, Tang X, Sheng L, Yang X. (2016). Enhancing the performance of Co-hydrothermal liquefaction for mixed algae strains by the Maillard reaction. Green Chem. 18: 2542-2553.