



28 **Keywords:** Altitude; incidence; leaf spot; planting date; severity; *Telfairia occidentalis*.

## 29 **Introduction**

30

31 *Telfairia occidentalis* Hook. f. (fluted pumpkin) is one of the highly prized vegetable crops in  
32 Cameroon. Growing *T. occidentalis* strongly enhances the livelihoods of poor resource base  
33 farmers because it can be harvested and sold throughout the year at weekly intervals compared  
34 to other locally cultivated vegetable crops. The crop plant has inherent immense nutritional and  
35 medicinal values (Odiaka and Schippers 2004; Kayode and Kayode 2011), with potentials of  
36 being used industrially as a food supplement (Odiaka and Schippers 2004).

37 However, sustainable production is greatly constrained by various diseases each year, of which  
38 leaf spot (causal agent: *Phoma sorghina*) in the field is the most important (Annih et al. 2020;  
39 Mbong et al. 2021). Leaf spot disease is one of the most important limiting factors for the  
40 cultivation of the seed and leaf vegetable crop in tropical and subtropical areas (Bassey and  
41 Opara 2016).

42 In the field, leaf spot appears within three weeks after emergence and continues throughout the  
43 life of the plant in the field. The translucent white spots enlarge, turn brown and shatter, leaving  
44 the leaves with perforations. The pathogen attacks the leaves of the crop and produces localized  
45 lesions of dead or collapsed cells with the consequent effect of reducing the leaf lamina. Under  
46 severe attack, the entire leaf dies (Udo et al. 2013). This has the effect of limiting production  
47 and degrades its quality, thereby reducing its market value and profitability. Farmers therefore  
48 often face substantial plant losses before harvesting with severe economic losses. In addition,  
49 infection of leaves by the pathogen also significantly reduces the nutrient content (Udo et al.  
50 2013).

51 Subsistence farmers in developing countries, including Cameroon, have very few options on  
52 the control of leaf spot disease on their crops. Synthetic fungicides can be used in the

53 management of the disease under field conditions. Biweekly spraying of cocktails of synthetic  
54 fungicides significantly reduced the disease incidence in the field (Nwufo and Ihejirika 2008).  
55 However, the fungicides threaten farmers' health and environment and lead to resistance  
56 development of the pathogen against the pesticides while they also impact non-target and  
57 beneficial organisms. The chemicals are also not cost-effective (Godwin-Egein et al. 2015). In  
58 addition, the poor farmers even lack expertise and other accessories for the proper application  
59 of these chemicals (Udo et al. 2013). Improper use of such chemical has led to residue  
60 accumulation on *T. occidentalis* (Mbong et al. 2019), which may have intoxicating effects in  
61 the body when the vegetable is consumed. With limited preferences as such, the subsistence  
62 farmers therefore rely mainly on cultural practices as an important aspect of leaf spot disease  
63 control. The shortcomings and the growing desire for organic produced food devoid of  
64 synthetic chemicals necessitate the need for alternative disease control that are applicable,  
65 inexpensive, safe and ecologically friendly.

66 In production zones across Cameroon, the cultivation of *T. occidentalis* usually begin at each  
67 farming season, commencing in March. Naturally, these production areas are located at  
68 different altitudes. Of recent, there has been growing demand for the vegetable due to its  
69 nutritional values, pharmacotherapeutic properties and industrial potentials. To meet this  
70 challenge, there is need to address the constraints on *T. occidentalis* production. The main  
71 objective of this study was to investigate how altitude and planting dates during the growing  
72 season impact leaf spot incidence and severity to identify optimal planting dates and at different  
73 altitudes for *T. occidentalis*.

74 **Material and methods**

75 **Study sites**

76 The study was conducted in the cropping seasons of 2019 and 2020 in the localities of Dschang  
77 and Santchou, both in the Menoua Division between March and July of each year. Menoua  
78 Division is one of the eight Divisions in the West Region of the Republic of Cameroon. The  
79 Division is unique in having localities with two different tropical climatic conditions under  
80 which *T. occidentalis* is cultivated mostly by poor resource based farmers. These climatic  
81 conditions fall within identical climatic belts of the North West and South West Regions of  
82 Cameroon, where *T. occidentalis* is extensively cultivated. The study area constitutes one of  
83 the main crop-producing areas in the Western Highlands agro-ecological zone of Cameroon.  
84 Farming in this area is dominated by small-scale farmers and the agricultural population is  
85 estimated at over 72 % with about 160,000 households. The terrain of the Western Highlands  
86 agro-ecological zone consists mainly of plateaus and depressions stretching from 300 to 3000  
87 m above sea level (Kome et al. 2017).

88 In Dschang, the field study was conducted at the Faculty of Agronomy and Agricultural  
89 Sciences (FASA) teaching and research farm in the main campus of the University of Dschang,  
90 some 200 m from the University Lake. Dschang lies on latitude 5°26'38" N and longitude  
91 10°03'11" E. (Mbong et al. 2021) with an equatorial monsoon climate (PCD Dschang, 2015).  
92 The town is located at an altitude of between 1300 - 1400 metres, almost twice to that of  
93 Santchou. This altitudinal difference is a principal element which tints this locality from  
94 Santchou. The mean annual rainfall is 1872 mm with a relative humidity comprised between  
95 64.3 % and 97 % (Bamou et al. 2021). The climate of the region is of Sudano-Guinean type  
96 influenced by the altitude (Tamungang et al. 2016). The average annual temperature is 20 °C  
97 with February being the hottest month (Bamou et al. 2021). The region has one rainy season,  
98 which lasts from mid-March to mid-November and one dry season from mid-November to

99 mid-March (Tamungang et al. 2016). The climatic conditions and terrain of Dschang are  
100 similar to those in the North West Region of Cameroon where *T. occidentalis* is cultivated  
101 extensively by local farmers.

102 In Santchou, The field study was set up at IRAD (Institute for Agricultural Research and  
103 Development) research and seed multiplication field. Santchou is located between 5°16'N and  
104 9°58'E. It has an altitude of 786 m with a surface area of 95.05 km<sup>2</sup>. The annual average  
105 temperature in Santchou is 22.5 °C. Its annual average precipitation is 1364.4 mm with a  
106 relative humidity of 92% (Bamou et al. 2021). Santchou has very complex vegetation, and its  
107 climate is equatorial to the Guinean type (Santchou council development plan, 2015), similar  
108 to the Littoral and Southwest regions, which are hosted to several cultivators of *T. occidentalis*  
109 (Bamou et al. 2021). The study area is characterized by two main seasons: the dry season,  
110 which extends from mid-November to March, and the rainy season, which runs from March to  
111 November.

## 112 **Experimental design**

113 The experiment for the two years was laid out in a 2 by 4 factorial randomized complete block  
114 design with 36 experimental units laid out in three blocks. The factors were two altitudes (low  
115 and high altitudes) and four planting dates. Within each block, three experimental units were  
116 selected randomly and sowed for each of the four separate planting dates. The experiment was  
117 laid out over a surface area of 121 m<sup>2</sup>. Each experimental unit measured 2.25 m<sup>2</sup>. The  
118 experimental units and blocks were both separated by passageways of 0.4 m. The experimental  
119 layout for each growing season and study area was identical.

## 120 **Planting materials**

121 Intact and mature *T. occidentalis* fruit pods for seeds were harvested from senescent shoots  
122 from an intercropped farm in the town of Dschang (Mbong et al. 2021). A minimum number

123 of fruit pods of the same cultivar required for the research were harvested. To extract the seeds,  
124 pods were cut open with the aid of a knife, and the seeds were carefully isolated from the pulp  
125 manually. The seeds were air-dried for two days, given that they are recalcitrant, to prevent  
126 decay before planting. The seeds used in the subsequent year were of the same cultivar. The  
127 experimental research and field studies of the cultivated crop plant including the collection of  
128 planting material was within institutional, national and international guidelines and legislation.

### 129 **Field preparation**

130 During each investigation, a parcel of land measuring 121 m<sup>2</sup> was manually cleared of the  
131 weeds. The cleared debris was removed and dumped beyond the field experimental site. A hoe  
132 was later employed to uniformly plow the field to facilitate the construction of regular  
133 experimental units. Other equipment including a decameter, pegs and cords were employed to  
134 demarcate the tilled field into experimental units, and hoe was again made use of to set up  
135 mounds of 1.5 m x 1.5 m separated by alleys of 0.4 m.

### 136 **Planting of seeds and treatments**

137 The topsoil was used as substrate for planting. Healthy air-dried seeds were taken to the field  
138 and planted by direct seeding at a depth of 3 - 4 cm and covered with topsoil on each  
139 experimental unit at a rate of 1 m x 1 m. Four seeds were sown per experimental unit.

140 Four planting dates each were chosen and staggered seven days apart for the selected  
141 experimental units to determine the most appropriate time that planting the crop produce  
142 minimal leaf spot disease incidence and severity. The first planting date was on the 21st of  
143 March while the second, third and fourth planting were on the March 28<sup>th</sup>, April 4<sup>th</sup> and 11<sup>th</sup> of  
144 April in both study areas.

145 **Crop maintenance in the field**

146 After the four-week plant string, the field was constantly monitored for weed removal and  
147 staking with advancing growth. The removal of weeds commenced two weeks after emergence  
148 in the field. This was achieved manually once every fortnight to ensure optimal growth voids  
149 of other plant competitors and for better monitoring of disease parameters in the field. The field  
150 was secured with a barrier to keep local domestic animals out of reach and to check the  
151 indiscriminate movement of passers-by into or across the experimental field.

152 Staking with the aid of pegs locally harvested in the neighborhood of the field was initiated at  
153 three weeks after emergence and continued for an additional period of two weeks. The pegs  
154 were trimmed to a height of 1 m. The staked stands were tied with robes drawn from plantain  
155 stems. This was meant to train the clambering vines to the trellis and to facilitate their creeping  
156 pattern. Bamboo trellises were constructed for each experimental unit to serve as a supporting  
157 platform for optimal crop growth and for ideal disease assessment.

158 **Data Collection**

159 **Disease Assessment in the Field**

160 Disease incidence in the field was established by visual observations of symptoms of *T.*  
161 *occidentalis* leaf spot on the leaves. The data for disease incidence and severity commenced  
162 three weeks after emergence and were documented for a period of eight weeks. In the process,  
163 diseased leaves and the totality of leaves for each stand were counted, and the information was  
164 methodically recorded. The data was collected at weekly intervals as presented in the disease  
165 severity scale in Table 1.

166 **Calculations for disease incidence**

167 The information recorded in the field was used to calculate the percentage of the proportion of  
168 leaves infected per plant within the speculated period using the following formula for disease  
169 incidence (DI):

170 
$$\text{Percentage DI} = \frac{\text{Number of leaves infected}}{\text{Total number of leaves sampled}} \times 100$$

171 **Determination of Disease Severity**

172 The disease severity commenced immediately after the assessment of the disease incidence,  
173 and different treatments were the same as for disease incidence. The disease severity was  
174 assessed based on proportion of diseased leaves per plant following the modified disease  
175 severity scale of Orji et al. (2015), (Table 1).

176 **Table 1:** Disease severity scale of leaf spot (scored at weekly intervals)

Severity Scale	Numerical Rating	Description of symptom
0	0	No disease.
1 – 20	1	Infection of the leaves with small spot lesions.
21 – 40	2	Moderate infection of leaf with spot lesions spreading on the surface of the leaves.
41 – 60	3	Severe infection of the leaves with leaf spot lesions almost found in all the leaflets.
61 – 80	4	Very severe infection on all the leaves with spot lesions spreading in all the leaflets and coalescing.



81 – 100	5	The entire plant is completely infected with all leaves having leaf spot disease, some of the leaves having holes and there is leaf tearing.
----------	---	--

177

178 **Statistical Analysis**

179 The information documented on the total number of leaves and number of diseased leaves from  
 180 each stand at the corresponding planting dates was submitted to analysis of variance  
 181 (ANOVA), and the means were separated by least significant difference (LSD) at a 95%  
 182 confidence interval. The disease incidence was calculated using the Microsoft Excel program  
 183 while SPSS was used for ANOVA. The scored data for disease severity was subjected to  
 184 ANOVA. Data for disease incidence and severity were documented fortnightly, commencing  
 185 five weeks after planting (WAP).

186 **Results and discussion**

187 **Results**

188

189 **Disease assessment at weekly intervals in Dschang and Santchou**

190

191  
 192 In the trial study in Dschang and Santchou, very high leaf spot disease incidences were  
 193 observed at 11 WAP contrary to a minimal incidences that were mostly witnessed at 5 WAP.  
 194 Considering the evolution of disease incidence at weekly intervals in planting date one, the  
 195 very high incidence observed at 11 WAP in Dschang was significantly different ( $p < 0.05$ )  
 196 from the incidences registered in the fifth, and seventh weekly intervals at both sites including  
 197 the ninth weekly interval in Santchou (Table 2).

198 With regard to the weekly development of leaf spot incidence at planting date two, the seventh  
 199 week after planting in Dschang registered a very high incidence which differed significantly

200 from the incidences recorded in all weekly intervals in Santchou as well as the fifth week after  
 201 planting in Dschang (Table 2).

202 Taking into consideration the progress of disease incidence at weekly intervals in the third  
 203 planting date, the eleventh week after planting in Dschang revealed a significantly very high  
 204 leaf spot incidence which differed from the incidences registered in all weekly intervals  
 205 investigated in the study at both sites (Table 2).

206 In view of the evolution of disease incidence at weekly intervals in the fourth planting date, an  
 207 extremely low incidence was documented at the fifth week after planting in Dschang which  
 208 was significantly different from all disease incidences recorded at weekly intervals in the study  
 209 except for the seventh weekly interval in Dschang (Table 2).

210 **Table 2:** Disease incidence at WAP in both study sites

Site	WAP	PD 1, DI (%) ± SE	PD 2, DI (%) ± SE	PD 3, DI (%) ± SE	PD 4, DI (%) ± SE
Dschang	Five	7.19 ± 2.00 <sup>bc</sup>	5.59 ± 2.00 <sup>bc</sup>	7.77 ± 2.00 <sup>bc</sup>	3.15 ± 2.00 <sup>bd</sup>
	Seven	14.08 ± 2.00 <sup>b</sup>	18.62 ± 2.00 <sup>a</sup>	6.89 ± 2.00 <sup>bc</sup>	6.93 ± 2.00 <sup>bd</sup>
	Nine	17.35 ± 2.00 <sup>a</sup>	15.35 ± 2.00 <sup>a</sup>	12.45 ± 2.00 <sup>b</sup>	17.89 ± 2.00 <sup>bc</sup>
	Eleven	19.73 ± 2.00 <sup>a</sup>	17.46 ± 2.00 <sup>a</sup>	19.40 ± 2.00 <sup>a</sup>	28.60 ± 2.00 <sup>a</sup>
Santchou	Five	8.99 ± 2.00 <sup>b</sup>	12.06 ± 2.00 <sup>b</sup>	9.19 ± 2.00 <sup>bc</sup>	13.56 ± 2.00 <sup>bc</sup>
	Seven	5.17 ± 2.00 <sup>bc</sup>	12.60 ± 2.00 <sup>b</sup>	9.83 ± 2.00 <sup>bc</sup>	14.27 ± 2.00 <sup>bc</sup>
	Nine	8.42 ± 2.00 <sup>bc</sup>	6.92 ± 2.00 <sup>bc</sup>	7.75 ± 2.00 <sup>bc</sup>	14.36 ± 2.00 <sup>bc</sup>
	Eleven	16.39 ± 2.00 <sup>a</sup>	8.50 ± 2.00 <sup>bc</sup>	10.27 ± 2.00 <sup>b</sup>	22.33 ± 2.00 <sup>b</sup>

211 <sup>a, b, c, d</sup> Means in the same column with the same superscript are not significantly different at  $p > 0.05$  (LSD).  
 212 SE = Standard error.  
 213 PD = Planting date  
 214 WAP = Weeks after planting.  
 215 DI = Disease incidence  
 216 % = Percentage.

217 Considering disease severity at weeks after planting in the study sites, very high leaf spot  
 218 disease severities were generally registered at 11 WAP in both sites while the least severities  
 219 were recorded at 5 WAP in the survey areas (Table 3).

220 Taking into account the evolution of leaf spot at weekly intervals in planting date one, the very  
 221 high severity observed at 11 WAP in Dschang was significantly different ( $p < 0.05$ ) from the  
 222 disease severities recorded in the initial three weekly intervals in Santchou including the first  
 223 two weekly intervals in Dschang (Table 3).

224 With regard to the weekly progress of disease severity in the second planting date investigated  
 225 during the study, the 11 WAP in Dschang registered a very high severity which differed  
 226 significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ), from the severities documented in the first two weekly intervals in  
 227 Santchou including the first week after planting in Dschang (Table 3).

228 Looking at the development of disease severity at weekly intervals in the third planting date,  
 229 the eleventh week after planting in Dschang revealed a significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ), very high leaf  
 230 spot severity which differed from the leaf spot severities registered in the fifth, ninth and  
 231 eleventh weekly intervals in Santchou and the leading two weekly intervals in the study site in  
 232 Dschang inclusive (Table 3).

233 Considering the progress of disease severity at weekly intervals planting date four, an  
 234 extremely low severity was registered at 5 WAP in Dschang which was significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ),  
 235 different from all leaf spot severities recorded at weekly intervals during the study except for  
 236 the seventh weekly interval in Dschang (Table 3).

237 **Table 3:** Disease severity at WAP in Dschang and Santchou

Site	WAP	PD 1, DS $\pm$ SE	PD 2, DS $\pm$ SE	PD 3, DS $\pm$ SE	PD 4, DS $\pm$ SE
Dschang	Five	0.47 $\pm$ 0.12 <sup>b</sup>	0.28 $\pm$ 0.12 <sup>b</sup>	0.72 $\pm$ 0.12 <sup>b</sup>	0.28 $\pm$ 0.12 <sup>b</sup>
	Seven	0.92 $\pm$ 0.12 <sup>b</sup>	1.22 $\pm$ 0.12 <sup>a</sup>	0.78 $\pm$ 0.12 <sup>b</sup>	0.56 $\pm$ 0.12 <sup>b</sup>

	Nine	1.22 ± 0.12 <sup>a</sup>	1.22 ± 0.12 <sup>a</sup>	1.11 ± 0.12 <sup>a</sup>	1.25 ± 0.12 <sup>a</sup>
	Eleven	1.33 ± 0.12 <sup>a</sup>	1.33 ± 0.12 <sup>a</sup>	1.39 ± 0.12 <sup>a</sup>	1.75 ± 0.12 <sup>a</sup>
Santchou	Five	0.81 ± 0.12 <sup>b</sup>	1.06 ± 0.12 <sup>a</sup>	0.81 ± 0.12 <sup>b</sup>	1.14 ± 0.12 <sup>a</sup>
	Seven	0.67 ± 0.12 <sup>b</sup>	1.11 ± 0.12 <sup>a</sup>	1.03 ± 0.12 <sup>a</sup>	1.22 ± 0.12 <sup>a</sup>
	Nine	0.78 ± 0.12 <sup>b</sup>	0.72 ± 0.12 <sup>b</sup>	0.92 ± 0.12 <sup>b</sup>	1.17 ± 0.12 <sup>a</sup>
	Eleven	1.14 ± 0.12 <sup>a</sup>	0.92 ± 0.12 <sup>b</sup>	1.03 ± 0.12 <sup>b</sup>	1.56 ± 0.12 <sup>a</sup>

238 <sup>a, b</sup> Means in the same column with the same superscript are not significantly different at  $p > 0.05$  (LSD).  
239 SE = Standard error.  
240 PD = Planting date  
241 WAP = Weeks after planting.  
242 DS = Disease severity.

#### 243 **Disease assessment at planting dates in both study areas**

244 Considering the two study sites, the disease incidences observed at the initial three planting  
245 dates in Santchou were generally lower than the incidences recorded at similar planting dates  
246 in Dschang. The very high leaf spot incidence recorded at the fourth planting date in the study  
247 site of Santchou differed significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ), from the incidences registered at leading three  
248 planting dates in Santchou including the third planting date in Dschang. Significantly low leaf  
249 spot incidences were registered in the initial three planting dates in at low altitude including  
250 planting date three at high altitude compared to the disease incidences documented at other  
251 planting dates in the investigation (Table 4).

252 Regarding disease severity, significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) low leaf spot severities were recorded  
253 within all planting dates in study areas except for planting date four at low altitude (Table 4).

254 **Table 4:** Disease assessment parameters at planting dates in the study areas

Planting date (days)	Study site	Disease incidence (%) ± SE	Disease severity ± SE
One	Dschang	14.59 ± 1.00 <sup>a</sup>	0.99 ± 0.06 <sup>b</sup>
	Santchou	9.74 ± 1.00 <sup>b</sup>	0.85 ± 0.06 <sup>b</sup>
Two	Dschang	14.25 ± 1.00 <sup>a</sup>	1.01 ± 0.06 <sup>b</sup>

	Santchou	10.02 ± 1.00 <sup>b</sup>	0.95 ± 0.06 <sup>b</sup>
Three	Dschang	11.63 ± 1.00 <sup>b</sup>	1.00 ± 0.06 <sup>b</sup>
	Santchou	9.26 ± 1.00 <sup>b</sup>	0.94 ± 0.06 <sup>b</sup>
Four	Dschang	14.14 ± 1.00 <sup>a</sup>	0.96 ± 0.06 <sup>b</sup>
	Santchou	16.13 ± 1.00 <sup>a</sup>	1.27 ± 0.06 <sup>a</sup>

255 <sup>a, b</sup> Means in the same column with the same superscript are not significantly different at  $p > 0.05$  (LSD).

256 SE = Standard error.

257 % = percentage.

### 258 **Disease assessment between Dschang and Santchou**

259 In the study, the disease incidence in Santchou (at low altitude) was established significantly  
 260 lower than the incidence recorded in the study area of Dschang (at high altitude). However,  
 261 there was no significant difference between the leaf spot disease severities observed between  
 262 the two study sites (Table 5).

263 **Table 5:** Disease incidence and severity between the study areas

Study site	Disease incidence (%) ± SE	Disease severity ± SE
Dschang	13.65 ± 0.50 <sup>a</sup>	0.99 ± 0.03 <sup>a</sup>
Santchou	11.28 ± 0.50 <sup>b</sup>	1.00 ± 0.03 <sup>a</sup>

264 <sup>a, b</sup> Means in the same column with the same superscript are not significantly different at  $p > 0.05$  (LSD).

265 SE = Standard error.

266

### 267 **Discussion**

268 Leaf spot disease incidence was significantly low at the low altitude (in Santchou) compared  
 269 to the incidence registered at the high altitude (in Dschang). The leaf spot pathogen of *T.*  
 270 *occidentalis* is essentially known to be carried from one host plant to another by air current.  
 271 Therefore, it is probable that wind could have played a significant role in the prevalence of the  
 272 disease between the study sites. This observation is consistent with the findings of Waller et al.  
 273 (2002), who reported that, the transmission of fungi spores by air current is greater at high

274 altitude. In addition, Helen and Michele (1997), opined that a plant disease can be avoided  
275 entirely by planting a crop in different sites or regions or at different altitudes from those in  
276 which it normally grows. In this study, conducted in Menoua Division, the study site of  
277 Dschang is located at a higher altitude, almost twice that of Santchou. This disparity in altitude,  
278 as it naturally exist, could have facilitated the dispersal of the small, lightweight spores of  
279 *Phoma sorghina* in the field by air current leading to further spread of infection and higher leaf  
280 spot disease incidence. Another study (Kassaw et al. 2021) reported contradictory results.

281 The planting dates within which *T. occidentalis* leaf spot incidences were significantly low  
282 could have coincided with growing stages of the crop that were less susceptible, more resistant,  
283 to infection and spread of the leaf spot pathogen, resulting in leaf spot disease avoidance. The  
284 results in this study are also in agreement with previous investigations conducted and reported  
285 by Akhileshwaria et al. (2012). The researchers affirmed that, adjustment of planting dates is  
286 one of the important cultural practices that can be exploited to minimize crop losses due to  
287 disease. The authors intimated that, there was a decrease in powdery mildew severity in  
288 sunflower following strategic manipulation of planting dates. The authors went on to ascertain  
289 that, such a cultural technique avoided coincidence with susceptible stage of the crop,  
290 consequently, resulting in disease escape. Subsequent reports by Apeyuan et al. (2017),  
291 confirmed that, strategic alteration in planting dates was effective in the control of some plant  
292 diseases. Previous reports (Mbong et al. 2010; Jitendiya and Chhetry 2014), established that  
293 sowing dates significantly influenced the epidemiology of crop diseases under field conditions.

294 In addition, the results in this study further confirms the earlier emphatic viewpoints of Helen  
295 and Michele (1997), who explained that many crop plants tend to be more susceptible to attacks  
296 by various parasites at certain stages of their development. The authors added that, changing  
297 the usual planting time of a crop can exploit weather conditions which are not favourable for  
298 the spread of pathogens and reduce crop losses.

299 Furthermore, in this study, *T. occidentalis* leaf spot disease incidence and severity were  
300 significantly high at planting date four in the Dschang (high altitude). This could be due to the  
301 fact that the inoculum density within the field was very high due to accumulation from previous  
302 planting dates coupled with the fact that the weather conditions could have been more  
303 favourable for infection. Therefore, the more conducive microclimate together with  
304 conceivable high initial inoculum population could have encouraged the proliferation of the  
305 already populated fungal spores, their germination and rapid multiplication, which favoured  
306 new and rapid infections, resulting in extremely high leaf spot disease incidences and severities.  
307 This result corroborates with previous investigations of Kone et al. (2017), who suggested that  
308 warm and humid weather conditions favoured the propagation of disease in cucurbits under  
309 field conditions. In addition, Ilondu (2013), earlier acknowledged that leaf spot diseases are  
310 favoured by humid weather conditions, where they destroy a greater portion of the foliage.  
311 With such humid conditions, the spores readily germinated within a brief period resulting in  
312 further spread of the disease among the stands.

### 313 **Conclusion**

314 In this study it was revealed that, the leading three sowing dates at low altitude (in Santchou)  
315 and the third planting date in Dschang were crucial in reducing leaf spot disease incidence and  
316 severity under field conditions. In addition the low altitude recorded a significantly low leaf  
317 spot disease incidence compared to the high altitude. The first three sowing dates in Santchou  
318 including the third planting date in Dschang could therefore be vital in minimizing the  
319 prevalence leaf spot disease of *T occidentalis*.

320 **References**

- 321 Akhileshwari S, Amaresh YS, Naik MK, Kantharaju V, Shankergoud I, Ravi MV. 2012. Effect  
322 of dates of sowing on powdery mildew severity and yield of sunflower. *Karnataka J. Agric.*  
323 *Sci.*, 25 (1); (129-130) 2012.
- 324 Akoroda MO. 1990. Seed production and breeding potential of the fluted pumpkin, *Telfairia*  
325 *occidentalis*. *Euphytica* 49, 25–32 (1990). <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00024127>.
- 326 Annih MG, Tatiana NCB, Kinge TR, Mariette A, Kebei AK. 2020. Effect of animal manure  
327 on the incidence and severity of leaf spot disease of fluted pumpkin (*Telfairia occidentalis*)  
328 in Dschang, West Region of Cameroon. *American Journal of Plant Sciences*, 2020, 11,  
329 1057-1076.
- 330 Apeyuan KD, Nwankiti AO, Oluma OAH, Ekefan EJ. 2017. Effect of different sowing dates  
331 on disease initiation and development of roselle (*Hibiscus sabdariffa* L.) leaf spot disease  
332 caused by *Coniella musaiensis* Var. hibisci in Makurdi, Central Nigeria. *Journal of Geoscience*  
333 *and Environment Protection*, 5, 94-101. <https://DOI.org/10.4236/gep.2017.511007>.
- 334 Bamou R, Nematchoua-Weyou Z, Lontsi-Demano M, Ningahi LG, Tchoumbou MA, Defo-  
335 Talom BA, Mayi MPA, Tchuinkam T. 2021. Performance assessment of a widely used rapid  
336 diagnostic test CareStart™ compared to microscopy for the detection of Plasmodium in  
337 asymptomatic patients in the Western Region of Cameroon. *Heliyon*.
- 338 Godwin-Egein MI, Okereke VC, Justus OP. 2015. Effect of fluted pumpkin (*Telfairia*  
339 *occidentalis*) and maize (*Zea mays*) intercrop on leaf spot disease. *American Journal of*  
340 *Agricultural Science*. 2015; 2(4): 133-137.
- 341 Helen O, Michele D. 2017. Disease management: cultural practices. Chapter 25; 2007.



342 Idris S. (2011). Compositional studies of *Telfairia occidentalis* leaves. *American Journal of*  
343 *Chemistry*, 2011; 1 (2): 56-59. DOI: 10.5923/j.chemistry.20110102.12.

344 Igbozulike AO. 2015. Mechanizing ugu (*Telfairia occidentalis*) production and postharvest  
345 operations IOSR. *Journal of Engineering (IOSRJEN)* [www.iosrjen.org](http://www.iosrjen.org). Vol. 05, Issue 07 (July.  
346 2015), ||V2|| PP 31-35.

347 Ilondu EM. 2013. Etiology and assessment of leaf spot disease of sweet potato (*Ipomoea*  
348 *batatas* (L.) Lam) in selected farms in Delta State, Nigeria. *Agriculture and Biology Journal of*  
349 *North America*. ISSN Print: 2151-7517, ISSN Online: 2151-7525,  
350 DOI:10.5251/abjna.2013.4.4.476.484.

351 Jitendiya DO, Chhetry GKN. 2014. Effect of certain traditional cultural practices for the  
352 management of blast disease of rice in Manipur agro-climatic conditions. *IOSR Journal*  
353 *of Agriculture and Veterinary Science (IOSR JAVS)*. Volume 7, Issue 7 Ver. IV (July.  
354 2014), PP 01-03 [www.iosrjournals.org](http://www.iosrjournals.org).

355 Kassaw A, Eshetu B, Merkez A. 2021. Potato late blight (*Phytophthora infestans*) incidence  
356 and severity influenced by cropping practices adopted in North Wollo, Eastern Amhara,  
357 Ethiopia. *Indian Phytopathology*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42360-021-00381-9>.

358 Kayode AAA, Kayode OT. 2011. Some medicinal values of *Telfairia occidentalis*. *American*  
359 *Journal of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology* 1 (1): 30-38, 2011.

360 Kome GK, Enang RK, Bine FK. 2017. Climate change, soil fertility management and the  
361 nexus: a knowledge and opinions study in Western Cameroon. *Journal of Scientific*  
362 *Research & Reports* 13 (4): 1-16, 2017; Article no.JSRR.31730. DOI:  
363 10.9734/JSRR/2017/31730.

364 Kone N, Asare-Bediako E, Silue S, Kone D, Koita O, Menzele W, Winter S. 2017. Influence  
365 of planting date on incidence and severity of viral disease on cucurbits under field condition.  
366 *Annals of Agricultural Science*. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aoas.2017.05.005>.

367 Mbong AG, Tembe-Fokunang EA, Berinyuy EB, Manju EB, Ngo VN, Mbah JA, Galega TBP,  
368 Fokunang CN. 2019. An overview of the impact of climate change on pathogens, pest of crops  
369 on sustainable food biosecurity. *International Journal of Ecotoxicology and Ecobiology*. Vol.  
370 4, No. 4, 2019, pp. 114-124. DOI: 10.11648/j.ijee.20190404.15.

371 Mbong GA, Akem CN, Alabi O, Emechebe AM, Alegbejo MD. 2010. Effect of sowing date  
372 on the incidence, apparent infection rate and severity of scab on cowpea. *Asian Journal of*  
373 *Agricultural Sciences* 2(2): 63-68, 2010. ISSN: 2041-3890.

374 Mbong GA, Kebei AK, Agyingi LA, Tatiana NCB, Mbong SE, Muluh NE. 2021. Influence of  
375 cropping system on the incidence and severity of leaf spot disease of *Telfairia occidentalis*  
376 Hook f. caused by *Phoma sorghina*. *International Journal of Applied Agricultural Sciences*.  
377 Vol. 7, No. 4, 2021, pp. 162-168. DOI: 10.11648/j.ijaas.20210704.14.

378 Nwufo MI, Ihejirika GO. 2008. Influence of Intercropping and Removal of Diseased Leaves  
379 on the Incidence and Severity of Leaf Spot Disease of *Telfairia occidentalis* Hook f. caused by  
380 *Phoma sorghina*. *Life Science Journal*, Vol 5, No 2, 2008 <http://lsj.zzu.edu.cn>.

381 Odiaka NI, Schippers RR. 2004. *Telfairia occidentalis* Hook f. [Internet] Record from  
382 PROTA4U. Grubben, G.J.H. and Denton, O.A. (Editors). PROTA (Plant Resources of Tropical  
383 Africa).

384 Tamungang SA, Taku A, Luchu NG. 2016. Avian species richness and diversity at the Dschang  
385 Municipal Lake, Cameroon: Implications of site management for conservation and  
386 ecotourism. *Journal of Ecology and the Natural Environment*. Vol. 8(11), pp. 192-200,

387 November 2016. DOI: 10.5897/JENE2016.0602. Article Number: 37E731661043. ISSN  
388 2006-9847.

389 Tuti NZ, Nahunnaro H, Ayuba K. 2015. Effect of some environmental factors on incidence  
390 and severity of angular leaf spot of cotton in Yola and Mubi, Adamawa State, Nigeria. World  
391 Journal of Engineering and Technology, 2015, 3, 19-25. Published Online August 2015 in  
392 SciRes <http://www.scirp.org/journal/wjet>. [http://dx. DOI.org/10.4236/wjet.2015.33B004](http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/wjet.2015.33B004).

393 Udo SE, Osai EO, Umana EJ, Markson AA, Madunagu BE. 2013. Infection related changes in  
394 nutritional contents of fluted pumpkin (*Telfairia occidentalis*) infected by *Diplocossum*  
395 *spicatum* and control using plant extracts, *International Journal of Research in Applied Natural*  
396 *and Social Sciences* (IJRANSS) Vol. 1, Issue 1, June 2013, 29-36.

397 Waller JM, Lenné JM, Waller SJ. 2002. Plant pathologist's pocketbook; 2002.