

26 **Introduction**

27

28 *Telfairia occidentalis* Hook. f. (fluted pumpkin) is one of the highly prized vegetable crops in
29 Cameroon. Growing *T. occidentalis* strongly enhances the livelihoods of poor resource base
30 farmers because it can be harvested and sold throughout the year at weekly intervals compared
31 to other locally cultivated vegetable crops. The crop plant has inherent immense nutritional and
32 medicinal values (Odiaka and Schippers 2004; Kayode and Kayode 2011), with potentials of
33 being used industrially as a food supplement (Odiaka and Schippers 2004).

34 However, sustainable production is greatly constrained by various diseases each year, of which
35 leaf spot (causal agent: *Phoma sorghina*) in the field is the most important (Annih et al. 2020;
36 Mbong et al. 2021). Leaf spot disease is one of the most important limiting factors for the
37 cultivation of the seed and leaf vegetable crop in tropical and subtropical areas (Bassey and
38 Opara 2016).

39 In the field, leaf spot appears within three weeks after emergence and continues throughout the
40 life of the plant in the field. The translucent white spots enlarge, turn brown and shatter, leaving
41 the leaves with perforations. Under severe attack, the entire leaf dies (Udo et al. 2013). The
42 pathogen attacks the leaves of the crop and produces localized lesions of dead or collapsed
43 cells with the consequent effect of reducing the leaf lamina. This has the effect of limiting
44 production and degrades its quality, thereby reducing its market value and profitability.
45 Farmers therefore often face substantial plant losses before harvesting with severe economic
46 losses. In addition, infection of leaves by the pathogen also significantly reduces the nutrient
47 content (Udo et al. 2013).

48 Subsistence farmers in developing countries, including Cameroon, have very few options on
49 the control of leaf spot disease on their crops. A few disease management techniques have been
50 reported in the control of leaf spot disease. Synthetic fungicides can be used in the management

51 of the disease under field conditions. Biweekly spraying of cocktails of synthetic fungicides
52 significantly reduced the disease incidence in the field (Nwufo and Ihejirika 2008). However,
53 the fungicides threaten farmers' health and environment and lead to resistance development of
54 the pathogen against the pesticides while they also impact non-target and beneficial organisms.
55 The chemicals are also not cost-effective (Godwin-Egein et al. 2015). In addition, the poor
56 farmers even lack expertise and other accessories in the usage of these chemicals (Udo et al.
57 2013). Improper use of such chemical has led to residue accumulation on *T. occidentalis*
58 (Mbong et al. 2019), which may have intoxicating effects in the body when the vegetable is
59 consumed. With limited preferences as such, the subsistence farmers therefore rely mainly on
60 cultural practices as an important aspect of leaf spot disease control. The shortcomings and the
61 growing desire for organic produced food devoid of synthetic chemicals necessitate the need
62 for alternative disease control that are available, inexpensive, safe and ecologically friendly.

63 In production zones across Cameroon, the cultivation of *T. occidentalis* usually begin at each
64 farming season, commencing in March. Naturally, these production areas are located at
65 different altitudes. Of recent, there has been growing demand for the vegetable due to its
66 nutritional values, pharmacotherapeutic properties and industrial potentials. To meet this
67 challenge, there is need to address the constraints on *T. occidentalis* production. The main
68 objective of this study was to investigate how altitude and strategic alteration of planting dates
69 during the growing season could identify appropriate production periods in which the highly
70 prized vegetable crop could be planted with less favourable conditions for leaf spot disease
71 development during the production cycle.

72 **Material and methods**

73 **Study sites**

74 The study was conducted in the cropping seasons of 2019 and 2020 in the localities of Dschang
75 and Santchou, both in the Menoua Division between March and July of each year. Menoua
76 Division is one of the eight Divisions in the West Region of the Republic of Cameroon. The
77 Division is unique in having localities with two different tropical climatic conditions under
78 which *T. occidentalis* is cultivated mostly by poor resource based farmers. These climatic
79 conditions fall within identical climatic belts of the North West and South West Regions of
80 Cameroon, where *T. occidentalis* is extensively cultivated. The study area constitutes one of
81 the main crop-producing areas in the Western Highlands agro-ecological zone of Cameroon.
82 Farming in this area is dominated by small-scale farmers and the agricultural population is
83 estimated at over 72 % with about 160,000 households. The terrain of the Western Highlands
84 agro-ecological zone consists mainly of plateaus and depressions stretching from 300 to 3000
85 m above sea level (Kome et al. 2017).

86 In Dschang, the field study was conducted at the Faculty of Agronomy and Agricultural
87 Sciences (FASA) teaching and research farm in the main campus of the University of Dschang,
88 some 200 m from the University Lake. Dschang lies on latitude 5°26'38" N and longitude
89 10°03'11" E. (Mbong et al. 2021) with an equatorial monsoon climate (PCD Dschang, 2015).
90 The town is located at an altitude of between 1300 - 1400 metres, almost twice to that of
91 Santchou. This altitudinal difference is a principal element which tints this locality from
92 Santchou. The mean annual rainfall is 1872 mm with a relative humidity comprised between
93 64.3 % and 97 % (Bamou et al. 2021). The climate of the region is of Sudano-Guinean type
94 influenced by the altitude (Tamungang et al. 2016). The average annual temperature is 20 °C
95 with February being the hottest month (Bamou et al. 2021). The region has one rainy season,
96 which lasts from mid-March to mid-November and one dry season from mid-November to

97 mid-March (Tamungang et al. 2016). The climatic conditions and terrain of Dschang are
98 similar to those in the North West Region of Cameroon where *T. occidentalis* is cultivated
99 extensively by local farmers.

100 In Santchou, The field study was set up at IRAD (Institute for Agricultural Research and
101 Development) research and seed multiplication field. Santchou is located between 5°16'N and
102 9°58'E. It has an altitude of 786 m with a surface area of 95.05 km². The annual average
103 temperature in Santchou is 22.5 °C. Its annual average precipitation is 1364.4 mm with a
104 relative humidity of 92% (Bamou et al. 2021). Santchou has very complex vegetation, and its
105 climate is equatorial to the Guinean type (Santchou council development plan, 2015), similar
106 to the Littoral and Southwest regions, which are hosted to several cultivators of *T. occidentalis*
107 (Bamou et al. 2021). The study area is characterized by two main seasons: the dry season,
108 which extends from mid-November to March, and the rainy season, which runs from March to
109 November.

110 **Experimental design**

111 The experiment for the two years was laid out in a 2 by 4 factorial randomized complete block
112 design with 36 experimental units laid out in three blocks. The factors were two altitudes (low
113 and high altitudes) and four planting dates. Within each block, three experimental units were
114 selected randomly and sowed for each of the four separate planting dates. The experiment was
115 laid out over a surface area of 121 m². Each experimental unit measured 2.25 m². The
116 experimental units and blocks were both separated by passageways of 0.4 m. The experimental
117 layout for each growing season and study area was identical.

118 **Planting materials**

119 Intact and mature *T. occidentalis* fruit pods for seeds were harvested from senescent shoots
120 from an intercropped farm in the town of Dschang (Mbong et al. 2021). A minimum number

121 of fruit pods of the same cultivar required for the research were harvested. To extract the seeds,
122 pods were cut open with the aid of a knife, and the seeds were carefully isolated from the pulp
123 manually. The seeds were air-dried for two days, given that they are recalcitrant, to prevent
124 decay before planting. The seeds used in the subsequent year were of the same cultivar. The
125 experimental research and field studies of the cultivated crop plant including the collection of
126 planting material was within institutional, national and international guidelines and legislation.

127 **Field preparation**

128 During each investigation, a parcel of land measuring 121 m² was manually cleared of the
129 weeds. The cleared debris was removed and dumped beyond the field experimental site. A hoe
130 was later employed to uniformly plow the field to facilitate the construction of regular
131 experimental units. Other equipment including a decameter, pegs and cords were employed to
132 demarcate the tilled field into experimental units, and hoe was again made use of to set up
133 mounds of 1.5 m x 1.5 m separated by alleys of 0.4 m.

134 **Planting of seeds and treatments**

135 The topsoil was used as substrate for planting. Healthy air-dried seeds were taken to the field
136 and planted by direct seeding at a depth of 3 - 4 cm and covered with topsoil on each
137 experimental unit at a rate of 1 m x 1 m. Four seeds were sown per experimental unit.

138 Four planting dates each were chosen and staggered seven days apart for the selected
139 experimental units to determine the most appropriate time that planting the crop produce
140 minimal leaf spot disease incidence and severity. The first planting date was on the 21st of
141 March while the second, third and fourth planting were on the March 28th, April 4th and 11th of
142 April in both study areas.

143 **Crop maintenance in the field**

144 After the four-week plant string, the field was constantly monitored for weed removal and
145 staking with advancing growth. The removal of weeds commenced two weeks after emergence
146 in the field. This was achieved manually once every fortnight to ensure optimal growth voids
147 of other plant competitors and for better monitoring of disease parameters in the field. The field
148 was secured with a barrier to keep local domestic animals out of reach and to check the
149 indiscriminate movement of passers-by into or across the experimental field.

150 Staking with the aid of pegs locally harvested in the neighborhood of the field was initiated at
151 three weeks after emergence and continued for an additional period of two weeks. The pegs
152 were trimmed to a height of 1 m. The staked stands were tied with robes drawn from plantain
153 stems. This was meant to train the clambering vines to the trellis and to facilitate their creeping
154 pattern. Bamboo trellises were constructed for each experimental unit to serve as a supporting
155 platform for optimal crop growth and for ideal disease assessment.

156 **Data Collection**

157 **Disease Assessment in the Field**

158 Disease incidence in the field was established by visual observations of symptoms of *T.*
159 *occidentalis* leaf spot on the leaves. The data for disease incidence and severity commenced
160 three weeks after emergence and were documented for a period of eight weeks. In the process,
161 diseased leaves and the totality of leaves for each stand were counted, and the information was
162 methodically recorded. The data was collected at weekly intervals as presented in the disease
163 severity scale in Table 1.

164 **Calculations for disease incidence**

165 The information recorded in the field was used to calculate the percentage of the proportion of
166 leaves infected per plant within the speculated period using the following formula for disease
167 incidence (DI):

168
$$\text{Percentage DI} = \frac{\text{Number of leaves infected}}{\text{Total number of leaves sampled}} \times 100$$

169 **Determination of Disease Severity**

170 The disease severity commenced immediately after the assessment of the disease incidence,
171 and different treatments were the same as for disease incidence. The disease severity was
172 assessed based on proportion of diseased leaves per plant following the modified disease
173 severity scale of Orji et al. (2015), (Table 1).

174 **Table 1:** Disease severity scale of leaf spot (scored at weekly intervals)

Severity Scale	Numerical Rating	Description of symptom
0	0	No disease.
1 – 20	1	Infection of the leaves with small spot lesions.
21 – 40	2	Moderate infection of leaf with spot lesions spreading on the surface of the leaves.
41 – 60	3	Severe infection of the leaves with leaf spot lesions almost found in all the leaflets.
61 – 80	4	Very severe infection on all the leaves with spot lesions spreading in all the leaflets and coalescing.

81 – 100	5	The entire plant is completely infected with all leaves having leaf spot disease, some of the leaves having holes and there is leaf tearing.
----------	---	--

175

176 **Statistical Analysis**

177 The information documented on the total number of leaves and number of diseased leaves from
 178 each stand at the corresponding planting dates was submitted to analysis of variance
 179 (ANOVA), and the means were separated by least significant difference (LSD) at a 95%
 180 confidence interval. The disease incidence was calculated using the Microsoft Excel program
 181 while SPSS was used for ANOVA. The scored data for disease severity was subjected to
 182 ANOVA. Data for disease incidence and severity were documented fortnightly, commencing
 183 five weeks after planting (WAP).

184 **Results and discussion**

185 **Results**

186

187 **Disease assessment at weekly intervals in Dschang and Santchou**

188

189
 190 In the trial study in Dschang and Santchou, very high leaf spot disease incidences were
 191 observed at 11 WAP contrary to a minimal incidences that were mostly witnessed at 5 WAP
 192 (Table 2).

193 **Table 2:** Disease incidence at WAP in both study sites

Site	WAP	PD 1, DI (%) ± SE	PD 2, DI (%) ± SE	PD 3, DI (%) ± SE	PD 4, DI (%) ± SE
Dschang	Five	7.19 ± 2.00*	5.59 ± 2.00*	7.77 ± 2.00*	3.15 ± 2.00*
	Seven	14.08 ± 2.00	18.62 ± 2.00	6.89 ± 2.00*	6.93 ± 2.00*
	Nine	17.35 ± 2.00	15.35 ± 2.00	12.45 ± 2.00*	17.89 ± 2.00

	Eleven	19.73 ± 2.00	17.46 ± 2.00	19.40 ± 2.00	28.60 ± 2.00
Santchou	Five	8.99 ± 2.00*	12.06 ± 2.00	9.19 ± 2.00*	13.56 ± 2.00
	Seven	5.17 ± 2.00*	12.60 ± 2.00	9.83 ± 2.00*	14.27 ± 2.00
	Nine	8.42 ± 2.00*	6.92 ± 2.00*	7.75 ± 2.00*	14.36 ± 2.00
	Eleven	16.39 ± 2.00	8.50 ± 2.00*	10.27 ± 2.00*	22.33 ± 2.00

194 * Means in the same column are not significantly different at $p > 0.05$ (LSD).

195 SE = Standard error.

196 PD = Planting date

197 WAP = Weeks after planting.

198 DI = Disease incidence

199 % = Percentage.

200 Considering disease severity at weeks after planting in the study sites, very high leaf spot
 201 disease severities were generally registered at 11 WAP in both sites while the least severities
 202 were recorded at 5 WAP in the survey areas (Table 3).

203 **Table 2:** Disease severity at WAP in Dschang and Santchou

Site	WAP	PD 1, DS ± SE	PD 2, DS ± SE	PD 3, DS ± SE	PD 4, DS ± SE
Dschang	Five	0.47 ± 0.12*	0.28 ± 0.12*	0.72 ± 0.12*	0.28 ± 0.12*
	Seven	0.92 ± 0.12	1.22 ± 0.12	0.78 ± 0.12*	0.56 ± 0.12*
	Nine	1.22 ± 0.12	1.22 ± 0.12	1.11 ± 0.12	1.25 ± 0.12
	Eleven	1.33 ± 0.12	1.33 ± 0.12	1.39 ± 0.12	1.75 ± 0.12
Santchou	Five	0.81 ± 0.12*	1.06 ± 0.12	0.81 ± 0.12*	1.14 ± 0.12
	Seven	0.67 ± 0.12*	1.11 ± 0.12	1.03 ± 0.12*	1.22 ± 0.12
	Nine	0.78 ± 0.12*	0.72 ± 0.12	0.92 ± 0.12*	1.17 ± 0.12
	Eleven	1.14 ± 0.12	0.92 ± 0.12	1.03 ± 0.12*	1.56 ± 0.12

204 * Means in the same column are not significantly different at $p > 0.05$ (DMRT).

205 SE = Standard error.

206 PD = Planting date

207 WAP = Weeks after planting.

208 DS = Disease severity.

209 **Disease assessment at planting dates in both study areas**

210 Considering the two study sites, the disease incidences observed at the initial three planting
 211 dates in Santchou were generally lower than the incidences recorded at similar planting dates
 212 in Dschang. The very high incidence recorded at the first planting date in the study site of
 213 Dschang differed significantly ($p < 0.05$), from the incidences registered at leading three
 214 planting dates in Santchou. The disease incidence at planting date two in Dschang also differed
 215 significantly from the incidence recorded at planting dates two and three in Santchou. In
 216 addition, the least incidence recorded at planting date three in Santchou was significantly
 217 different from the from all leaf spot disease incidences documented in the study in the two
 218 sites. There was however no significant difference in the incidences registered at planting date
 219 four in both sites (Table 4).

220 Regarding disease severity, the very low leaf spot disease severity observed at planting date
 221 one in Santchou differed significantly from the severity recorded at planting date four in the
 222 same study site (Table 4).

223 **Table 4:** Disease assessment parameters at planting dates in the study areas

Planting date (days)	Study site	Disease incidence (%) \pm SE	Disease severity \pm SE
One	Dschang	14.59 \pm 1.00 ^{bc}	0.99 \pm 0.06 ^a
	Santchou	9.74 \pm 1.00 ^a	0.85 \pm 0.06 ^a
Two	Dschang	14.25 \pm 1.00 ^{bc}	1.01 \pm 0.06 ^a
	Santchou	10.02 \pm 1.00 ^a	0.95 \pm 0.06 ^a
Three	Dschang	11.63 \pm 1.00 ^b	1.00 \pm 0.06 ^a
	Santchou	9.26 \pm 1.00 ^a	0.94 \pm 0.06 ^a
Four	Dschang	14.14 \pm 1.00 ^{bc}	0.96 \pm 0.06 ^a
	Santchou	16.13 \pm 1.00 ^c	1.27 \pm 0.06 ^b

224 ^{a, b, c} Means in the same column with the same superscript are not significantly different at $p > 0.05$ (LSD).

225 SE = Standard error.
226 % = percentage.

227 **Disease assessment between Dschang and Santchou**

228 In the study, the disease incidence in Santchou (at low altitude) was established significantly
229 lower than the incidence recorded in the study area of Dschang (at high altitude). However,
230 there was no significant difference between the leaf spot disease severities observed between
231 the two study sites (Table 5).

232 **Table 5:** Disease incidence and severity between the study areas

Study site	Disease incidence (%) \pm SE	Disease severity \pm SE
Dschang	13.65 \pm 0.50 ^b	0.99 \pm 0.03 ^a
Santchou	11.28 \pm 0.50 ^a	1.00 \pm 0.03 ^a

233 ^{a, b} Means in the same column with the same superscript are not significantly different at $p > 0.05$ (LSD).
234 SE = Standard error.
235

236 **Discussion**

237 Leaf spot disease incidences was significantly low at the low altitude (in Santchou) compared
238 to the incidences registered at the high altitude (in Dschang). The leaf spot pathogen of *T.*
239 *occidentalis* is essentially known to be carried from one host plant to another by air current.
240 Therefore, it is probable that wind could have played a significant role in the prevalence of the
241 disease between the study sites. This observation is consistent with the findings of Waller et al.
242 (2002), who reported that, the transmission of fungi spores by air current is greater at high
243 altitude. In addition, Helen and Michele (1997), opined that a plant disease can be avoided
244 entirely by planting a crop in different sites or regions or at different altitudes from those in
245 which it normally grows. In this study, conducted in Menoua Division, the study site of
246 Dschang is located at a higher altitude, almost twice that of Santchou. This disparity in altitude,
247 as it naturally exist, could have facilitated the dispersal of the small, lightweight spores of

248 *Phoma sorghina* in the field by air current leading to further spread of infection and higher leaf
249 spot disease incidence. Another study (Kassaw et al. 2021) reported contradictory results.

250 The sowing dates within which *T. occidentalis* leaf spot disease incidences were significantly
251 low, could have coincided with growing stages of the crop that were less susceptible, more
252 resistant, to infection and spread of the leaf spot pathogen, resulting in leaf spot disease
253 avoidance. This confirms previous investigations by Edema et al. (1997), who reported that
254 differences in resistance of certain cowpea cultivars to the various diseases encountered under
255 field conditions contributed to variation in disease prevalence. In addition, the results in this
256 study are also in agreement with previous investigations carried out and reported by
257 Akhileshwaria et al. (2012). The researchers affirmed that, adjustment of planting dates is one
258 of the important cultural practices that can be exploited to minimize crop losses due to disease.
259 The authors intimated that, there was a decrease in powdery mildew severity in sunflower
260 following strategic manipulation of planting dates. The authors went on to ascertain that, such
261 a cultural technique avoided coincidence with susceptible stage of the crop, consequently,
262 resulting in disease escape. Subsequent reports by Apeyuan et al. (2017), confirmed that,
263 strategic alteration in planting dates was effective in the control of some plant diseases.
264 Previous reports (Mbong et al. 2010; Jitendiya and Chhetry 2014), established that sowing
265 dates significantly influenced the epidemiology of crop diseases under field conditions. In
266 addition, the results in this study further confirms the earlier emphatic viewpoints of Helen and
267 Michele (1997), who explained that many crop plants tend to be more susceptible to attacks by
268 various parasites at certain stages of their development. The authors added that, changing the
269 usual planting time of a crop can exploit weather conditions which are not favourable for the
270 spread of pathogens and reduce crop losses.

271 Furthermore, in this study, *T. occidentalis* leaf spot disease incidence and severity were
272 significantly high at planting date four in the Dschang (high altitude). This could be due to the

273 fact that the inoculum density within the field was very high and conditions were more
274 favourable for infection. Therefore, the more conducive microclimate coupled with
275 conceivable high initial inoculum population could have encouraged the proliferation of the
276 already populated fungal spores, their germination and rapid multiplication, which favoured
277 new and rapid infections, resulting in extremely high leaf spot disease incidences and
278 severities. This result corroborates with previous investigations of Kone et al. (2017), who
279 suggested that warm and humid weather conditions favoured the propagation of disease in
280 cucurbits under field conditions. In addition, Ilondu (2013), earlier acknowledged that leaf spot
281 diseases are favoured by humid weather conditions, where they destroy a greater portion of the
282 foliage. With such humid conditions, the spores readily germinated within a brief period
283 resulting in further spread of the disease among the stands.

284 **Conclusion**

285 In this study it was revealed that, the leading three sowing dates at low altitude (in Santchou)
286 were crucial in reducing leaf spot disease incidence by *Phoma sorghina* under field conditions.
287 In addition the low altitude recorded a significantly lower leaf spot disease incidence compared
288 to the high altitude. The first three sowing dates and the low altitude could therefore be vital in
289 minimizing the prevalence leaf spot disease of *T occidentalis*.

290 **References**

- 291 Akhileshwari S, Amaresh YS, Naik MK, Kantharaju V, Shankergoud I, Ravi MV. (2012).
292 Effect of dates of sowing on powdery mildew severity and yield of sunflower. *Karnataka J.*
293 *Agric. Sci.*, 25 (1); (129-130) 2012.
- 294 Akoroda MO. (1990). Seed production and breeding potential of the fluted pumpkin, *Telfairia*
295 *occidentalis*. *Euphytica* 49, 25–32 (1990). <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00024127>.

296 Annih MG, Tatiana NCB, Kinge TR, Mariette A, Kebei AK. (2020). Effect of animal manure
297 on the incidence and severity of leaf spot disease of fluted pumpkin (*Telfairia occidentalis*)
298 in Dschang, West Region of Cameroon. *American Journal of Plant Sciences*, 2020, 11,
299 1057-1076.

300 Apeyuan KD, Nwankiti AO, Oluma OAH, Ekefan EJ. (2017). Effect of different sowing dates
301 on disease initiation and development of roselle (*Hibiscus sabdariffa* L.) leaf spot disease
302 caused by *Coniella musaiensis* Var. hibisci in Makurdi, Central Nigeria. *Journal of Geoscience
303 and Environment Protection*, 5, 94-101. <https://DOI.org/10.4236/gep.2017.511007>.

304 Bamou R, Nematchoua-Weyou Z, Lontsi-Demano M, Ningahi LG, Tchoumbou MA, Defo-
305 Talom BA, Mayi MPA, Tchuinkam T. (2021). Performance assessment of a widely used rapid
306 diagnostic test CareStart™ compared to microscopy for the detection of Plasmodium in
307 asymptomatic patients in the Western Region of Cameroon. *Heliyon*.

308 Godwin-Egein MI, Okereke VC, Justus OP. (2015). Effect of fluted pumpkin (*Telfairia
309 occidentalis*) and maize (*Zea mays*) intercrop on leaf spot disease. *American Journal of
310 Agricultural Science*. 2015; 2(4): 133-137.

311 Helen O, Michele D. (2017). Disease management: cultural practices. Chapter 25; 2007.

312 Idris S. (2011). Compositional studies of *Telfairia occidentalis* leaves. *American Journal of
313 Chemistry*, 2011; 1 (2): 56-59. DOI: 10.5923/j.chemistry.20110102.12.

314 Igbozulike AO. (2015). Mechanizing ugu (*Telfairia occidentalis*) production and postharvest
315 operations IOSR. *Journal of Engineering (IOSRJEN)* www.iosrjen.org. Vol. 05, Issue 07 (July.
316 2015), ||V2|| PP 31-35.

317 Ilondu EM. (2013). Etiology and assessment of leaf spot disease of sweet potato (*Ipomoea
318 batatas* (L.) Lam) in selected farms in Delta State, Nigeria. *Agriculture and Biology Journal of*

319 *North America*. ISSN Print: 2151-7517, ISSN Online: 2151-7525,
320 DOI:10.5251/abjna.2013.4.4.476.484.

321 Jitendiya DO, Chhetry GKN. (2014). Effect of certain traditional cultural practices for the
322 management of blast disease of rice in Manipur agro-climatic conditions. *IOSR Journal*
323 *of Agriculture and Veterinary Science* (IOSR JAVS).Volume 7, Issue 7 Ver. IV (July.
324 2014), PP 01-03www.iosrjournals.org.

325 Kassaw A, Eshetu B, Merkez A. (2021). Potato late blight (*Phytophthora infestans*) incidence
326 and severity influenced by cropping practices adopted in North Wollo, Eastern Amhara,
327 Ethiopia. *Indian Phytopathology*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42360-021-00381-9>.

328 Kayode AAA, Kayode OT. (2011). Some medicinal values of *Telfairia occidentalis*. *American*
329 *Journal of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology* 1 (1): 30-38, 2011.

330 Kome GK, Enang RK, Bine FK. (2017). Climate change, soil fertility management and the
331 nexus: a knowledge and opinions study in Western Cameroon. *Journal of Scientific*
332 *Research & Reports*13 (4): 1-16, 2017; Article no.JSRR.31730. DOI:
333 10.9734/JSRR/2017/31730.

334 Kone N, Asare-Bediako E, Silue S, Kone D, Koita O, Menzele W, Winter S. (2017). Influence
335 of planting date on incidence and severity of viral disease on cucurbits under field condition.
336 *Annals of Agricultural Science*. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aoas.2017.05.005>.

337 Mbong AG, Tembe-Fokunang EA, Berinyuy EB, Manju EB, Ngo VN, Mbah JA, Galega TBP,
338 Fokunang CN. (2019). An overview of the impact of climate change on pathogens, pest of
339 crops on sustainable food biosecurity. *International Journal of Ecotoxicology and Ecobiology*.
340 Vol. 4, No. 4, 2019, pp. 114-124. DOI: 10.11648/j.ijee.20190404.15.

341 Mbong GA, Akem CN, Alabi O, Emechebe AM, Alegbejo MD. (2010). Effect of sowing date
342 on the incidence, apparent infection rate and severity of scab on cowpea. *Asian Journal of*
343 *Agricultural Sciences* 2(2): 63-68, 2010. ISSN: 2041-3890.

344 Mbong GA, Kebei AK, Agyingi LA, Tatiana NCB, Mbong SE, Muluh NE. (2021). Influence
345 of cropping system on the incidence and severity of leaf spot disease of *Telfairia occidentalis*
346 Hook f. caused by *Phoma sorghina*. *International Journal of Applied Agricultural Sciences*.
347 Vol. 7, No. 4, 2021, pp. 162-168. DOI: 10.11648/j.ijaas.20210704.14.

348 Nwifo MI, Ihejirika GO. (2008). Influence of Intercropping and Removal of Diseased Leaves
349 on the Incidence and Severity of Leaf Spot Disease of *Telfairia occidentalis* Hook f. caused by
350 *Phoma sorghina*. *Life Science Journal*, Vol 5, No 2, 2008 <http://lsj.zzu.edu.cn>.

351 Odiaka NI, Schippers RR. (2004). *Telfairia occidentalis* Hook f. [Internet] Record from
352 PROTA4U. Grubben, G.J.H. and Denton, O.A. (Editors). PROTA (Plant Resources of Tropical
353 Africa).

354 Tamungang SA, Taku A, Luchu NG. (2016). Avian species richness and diversity at the
355 Dschang Municipal Lake, Cameroon: Implications of site management for conservation and
356 ecotourism. *Journal of Ecology and the Natural Environment*. Vol. 8(11), pp. 192-200,
357 November 2016. DOI: 10.5897/JENE2016.0602. Article Number: 37E731661043. ISSN
358 2006-9847.

359 Tuti NZ, Nahunnaro H, Ayuba K. (2015). Effect of some environmental factors on incidence
360 and severity of angular leaf spot of cotton in Yola and Mubi, Adamawa State, Nigeria. *World*
361 *Journal of Engineering and Technology*, 2015, 3, 19-25. Published Online August 2015 in
362 SciRes <http://www.scirp.org/journal/wjet>. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/wjet.2015.33B004>.

- 363 Udo SE, Osai EO, Umana EJ, Markson AA, Madunagu BE. (2013). Infection related changes
364 in nutritional contents of fluted pumpkin (*Telfairia occidentalis*) infected by *Diplocossum*
365 *spicatum* and control using plant extracts, *International Journal of Research in Applied Natural*
366 *and Social Sciences* (IJRANSS) Vol. 1, Issue 1, June 2013, 29-36.
- 367 Waller JM, Lenné JM, Waller SJ. (2002). Plant pathologist's pocketbook; 2002.